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SUMMARY
The inverse scattering series (ISS) internal multiple attenuation algorithm (Araújo et al., 1994; Weglein et al., 1997) is modified and extended by accommodating the source wavelet and radiation pattern to enhance the fidelity of the amplitude and phase predictions of the internal multiples. The extended ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm is fully data-driven to predict all first-order internal multiples for all horizons at once, without requiring any subsurface information. For data produced by a point source with a wavelet, the amplitude and shape of the predicted internal multiples are significantly improved by accommodating the source wavelet. For data generated by a general source with a radiation pattern, the new algorithm provides added value for predicting the internal multiples by accommodating the source radiation pattern. Therefore, the new extended ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm predicts more accurate internal multiples and remove them more effectively when the source has a radiation pattern.

INTRODUCTION
The inverse scattering series allows all seismic processing objectives, such as free surface multiple removal and internal multiple removal to be achieved directly in terms of data, without any estimation of the earth’s properties. The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm is a fully data-driven and model-type independent algorithm (Weglein et al., 2003). It can predict the correct time and approximate and well-understood amplitude for all first-order internal multiples that generated from all reflectors without any subsurface information.

The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm was first proposed by Araújo et al. (1994) and Weglein et al. (1997). Matson et al. (1999) extended the theory for land and OBC applications. Ramírez and Weglein (2005) discussed how to extend the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm from attenuation toward elimination of multiples. Herrera and Weglein (2013) developed the 1-D ISS internal multiple elimination algorithm for internal multiple generated by a single shallowest reflector and Zou and Weglein (2013) further derived a general form of the ISS internal multiple elimination algorithm. Liang et al. (2013) and Ma and Weglein (2014) provided higher-order terms in the inverse scattering series to remove spurious events.

The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm has certain data requirements: (1) removal of the reference wavefield, (2) an estimation of the source wavelet and radiation pattern, (3) source and receiver deghosting, and (4) removal of the free-surface multiples. The first three requirements can be obtained by Green’s theorem methods (Zhang and Weglein, 2005; Mayhan et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2013) and the free-surface multiples can be removed by the ISS free-surface multiple elimination algorithm (Carvalho, 1992; Weglein et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2013). Green’s theorem methods and the ISS free-surface multiple elimination algorithm are consistent with the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm, since all are multidimensional wave-theoretic processing methods and do not require subsurface information.

The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm assumes that the input data are spike wave. In other words, the input data have been deconvolved. If the input data are generated by a source wavelet instead of by a spike wave, the predicted first-order internal multiple has convolved three source wavelets. Hence, the source wavelet has a significant effect on the amplitude and shape of the predicted internal multiple. In this paper, to improve the amplitude and the shape of a predicted internal multiple, the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm accommodates a source wavelet.

The new contribution relates to the fact that the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm assumes an isotropic point source, i.e., it assumes that the source has no variation of amplitude or phase with take-off angle. A large marine air-gun array will exhibit directivity and produce variations of the source signature (Loveridge et al., 1984). In on-shore exploration, even if there is no source array, the source can have radiation pattern or directivity. That directivity has significant effects on multiple removal or attenuation and AVO analysis. In seismic data processing, it is important that we characterize the source array’s effect on any seismic processing methods. Therefore, to further improve the effectiveness of the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm, it is extended to accommodate the source radiation pattern. The synthetic data tests show that accommodating the source wavelet and radiation pattern can enhance the fidelity of the amplitude and phase predictions of internal multiples.

THEORY
The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm (Araújo, 1994; Weglein et al., 1997, 2003) for first-order internal multiple prediction in a 2D earth is given by

\[
b_{3}(k_{g}, k_{r}, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{1} e^{-i\omega_{q}(z_{e}-z_{s})} dk_{2} e^{i\omega_{q}(z_{e}-z_{s})} \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{1} b_{1}(k_{g}, k_{1}, z_{1}) e^{i\omega_{q_{1}}z_{1}} \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{2} b_{2}(k_{1}, k_{2}, z_{2}) e^{-i\omega_{q_{2}}z_{2}} \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{3} b_{3}(k_{2}, k_{3}, z_{3}) e^{i\omega_{q_{3}}z_{3}},
\]

where \(\omega, k_{g}, k_{r}\) are temporal frequency and the horizontal wavenumbers for source and receiver coordinates, respec-
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tively. \( q_i \) and \( q_0 \) are the corresponding vertical source and receiver wavenumbers, respectively, \( q_i = s q n(\omega) \sqrt{\omega^2/c_0^2 - k^2} \) for \( i = (s, r) \); \( c_0 \) is the reference velocity. \( z_s \) and \( z_r \) are the source and receiver depths; and \( z_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) represents pseudodepth (vertical depth of the water speed migration). The parameter \( \varepsilon \) is introduced to insure that the relations \( z_1 > z_2 \) and \( z_3 > z_2 \) are satisfied.

From the first-order equation of the inverse scattering series 

\[ D(x_s, z_s, z_r, z_r, \omega) = \int dx_1 \int dz_1 \int dx_2 \int dz_2 \]

\[ G_0^d(x_3, z_3, x_1, z_1, \omega) V_1(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, \omega) P_0^d(x_2, z_2, x_r, z_r, \omega), \tag{2} \]

where the data \( D \) have been deghosted and the reference wavefield and free-surface multiples have been removed. \( G_0^d \) and \( P_0^d \) are the direct reference Green’s function and the direct reference wavefield, respectively.

For a unit source, \( P_0^d = G_0^d \). We take a Fourier transform over \( x_s \) and \( x_r \) on both sides of equation 2 and define \( b_1 \) as

\[ b_1(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1) = -2iq_x D(k_x, k_z, \omega), \tag{3} \]

where \( b_1 \) represents effective plane-wave incident data and \( D(k_x, k_z, \omega) \) is the Fourier-transformed prestack data. The input \( b_1 \) are introduced into equation 1 after an uncoupled Stolt migration (Weglein et al., 1997) that takes \( b_1(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1) \) into the pseudodepth domain, \( b_3(k_x, k_z, z_1) \) using the reference velocity, \( c_0 \). Then, the first-order internal multiples \( D_3(k_x, k_z, \omega) \), which are predicted by the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm (equation 1) are obtained by

\[ D_3(k_x, k_z, \omega) = (-2iq_x)^{-1} b_3(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1). \tag{4} \]

For an isotropic point source, \( P_0^d = A(\omega)G_0^d \). Fourier transforming over \( x_s \) and \( x_r \) on both sides of equation 2 gives

\[ b_1(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1) = -2iq_x D(k_x, k_z, \omega)/A(\omega), \tag{5} \]

where \( A(\omega) \) is the source signature. After \( b_3 \) has been predicted by equation 1, the first-order internal multiple is achieved by convolving the source wavelet \( A(\omega) \) back

\[ D_3(k_x, k_z, \omega) = (-2iq_x)^{-1} A(\omega)b_3(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1). \tag{6} \]

For a general source with a radiation pattern (e.g., a source array), the direct reference wavefield \( P_0^d \) for a 2D case can be expressed as an integral of the direct reference Green’s function \( G_0^d \) over all air-guns in an array,

\[ P_0^d(x, z, x_s, z_s, \omega) = \int dx' dz' \rho(x', z', \omega)G_0^d(x, z, x' + x_s, z' + z_s, \omega), \tag{7} \]

where \( (x, z) \) and \( (x_s, z_s) \) are the prediction point and source point, respectively. \( (x', z') \) is the distribution of the source with respect to the source locator \( (x_s, z_s) \). Using the bilinear form of Green’s function and Fourier transforming over \( x \), we obtain the relationship between \( \rho \) and \( P_0^d \) as

\[ P_0^d(k, z, x_s, z_s, \omega) = \rho(k, q, \omega) e^{i[k(z - z_s)]/2iq_s} e^{-ikx_s}, \tag{8} \]

On the other hand, the reference wavefield \( P_0^d \) can be solved from the measured data by using Green’s theorem method (Weglein and Secret, 1990).

Since \( k^2 + q^2 = \omega^2/c_0^2 \), \( q \) is not a free variable, hence, we can not obtain \( \rho(x, z, \omega) \) in space-frequency domain by taking an inverse Fourier transform on \( \rho(k, q, \omega) \). However, the projection of the source signature \( \rho(k, q, \omega) \) can be achieved directly from the reference wavefield \( P_0^d \) in the \( f-k \) domain, where the variable \( k \) or \( q \) represent the amplitude variations of the source signature with angles.

Substituting the projection of the source signature \( \rho \) into equation 2 and Fourier transforming over \( x_s \) and \( x_r \) gives

\[ b_1(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1) = -2iq_x D(k_x, k_z, \omega)/\rho(k_x, q_s, \omega). \tag{9} \]

Further details of obtaining \( \rho \) can be found in Yang et al. (2013) and Yang (2014). The first-order internal multiple is calculated from \( b_3 \),

\[ D_3(k_x, k_z, \omega) = (-2iq_x)^{-1} \rho(k_x, q_s, \omega)b_3(k_x, k_z, q_s + q_1). \tag{10} \]

All above derivations are 2D cases, and they can be directly extended to 3D. From the derivations, we can see that the kernel of the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm (equation 1) does not change and the source wavelet and radiation pattern are imported by equations 5 and 9. The predicted internal multiples \( D_3 \) are also affected by the source wavelet and radiation pattern in equations 6 and 10. If the source wavelet is not incorporated into the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm, the amplitudes and shapes of the predicted internal multiples are not comparable with those of the internal multiples in the input data. To improve the effectiveness of the internal multiple prediction, the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm should be modified for its input and output by accommodating the source wavelet and radiation pattern. This accommodation can enhance the fidelity of the amplitude and shape of the predictions of internal multiples.

NUMERICAL TESTS

In this section, we will present the numerical tests of the internal multiple prediction for the data generated by a point source and a general source with a radiation pattern. The numerical tests are based on a 1D acoustic model with varying velocity and constant density, as shown in Figure 1. The synthetic data are generated by the finite-difference method. The data have one shot gather with 2001 traces, and each trace has 301 time samples, with \( dt = 5ms \). The trace interval is 5m.

The source wavelet effect on internal multiple prediction

For the data generated by a point source, the internal multiple will be predicted by using the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with and without source wavelet deconvolution. Figure 2 shows the input data and their corresponding predicted internal multiples. They are plotted using the same scale. In the input data, the first two strongest events are the primaries, and the other events are internal multiples. Figures 2(b) and 2(c) show the predicted internal multiples using the
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\begin{align*}
\text{300m} & \quad V_1 = 2000 \text{m/s} \\
\text{400m} & \quad V_2 = 3200 \text{m/s} \\
V_3 & = 6100 \text{m/s}
\end{align*}

Figure 1: One-dimensional acoustic constant-density medium.

ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with and without source wavelet deconvolution. From Figures 2(b) and 2(c), we can see that both algorithms predict the correct traveltimes, but they predict different amplitudes and shapes for the internal multiples. In Figure 2(b), the amplitude of the predicted internal multiple is comparable with the internal multiple in the input data, while the amplitude is totally different from that of the internal multiple in the input data in Figure 2(c).

Figure 2: (a) The input data; (b) and (c) The predicted internal multiples.

For details, we pick the middle trace (offset = 0) and the far trace (offset = 1700m) from each image in Figure 2. The time windows are chosen at 0.85s ~ 1.10s for the middle trace and at 1.05s ~ 1.25s for the far trace, as shown in Figure 3. For the middle trace, it can be seen that the shape of the internal multiple predicted by the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm without source wavelet deconvolution (Figure 3(c)) is totally different from that of the true internal multiple (Figure 3(a)). The predicted and true amplitudes are not comparable, either. This is because the predicted internal multiples converge three wavelets. However, comparing Figure 3(b) with Figure 3(a), we can see that the amplitude and shape of the internal multiple predicted by the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with source wavelet deconvolution are similar to those of the true internal multiple, as shown in Figure 4(a). It demonstrates that by accommodating the source wavelet deconvolution, the amplitude and shape of the predicted internal multiple are significantly improved for the internal multiple prediction. For the far-offset traces, we obtain the similar results, as shown in Figures 3(e) and 4(b).

From the numerical test, we conclude that by accommodating the source wavelet deconvolution, the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm produces more accurate and encouraging results for both zero offset and far offset. The predicted internal multiple has the correct traveltime, and the amplitude and shape are significantly improved.

Figure 3: (a), (b), (c) The middle traces, and (d), (e), (f) the far traces.

Figure 4: The comparison between the internal multiple (red) in the input data and the predicted internal multiple (blue) at (a) zero offset and at (b) far offset (1700m).

The radiation pattern effect on internal multiple prediction

For the data generated by a general source with a radiation pattern (e.g., source array), we will predict the internal multiple using the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with and without incorporating the source wavelet and radiation pattern. Here, the synthetic data are generated by a source array using the same model as Figure 1. The source array contains five point sources in one line with 20m range. Here, we assume that the source array only varies laterally with identical source signatures, but the assumption is not necessary in the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm.

Figure 5(a) shows the input data generated by the source array.
Similar with the data generated by the point source, the first two strongest events are the primaries, and the other events are internal multiples. Figures 5(b) and 5(c) present the internal multiples predicted by using the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with and without incorporating the source wavelet and radiation pattern. From Figures 5(b) and 5(c), we can see that both algorithms can predict the correct traveltime and an acceptable amplitude of the internal multiple.

![Comparison between true and predicted internal multiples](image)

Figure 5: (a) The input data; (b) and (c) the predicted internal multiples.

To compare the internal multiple predictions in detail, the middle trace (offset = 0) and the far trace (offset = 1700m) are picked from each image in Figure 5. We choose the time windows at 0.85s ~ 1.10s for the middle trace and at 1.05s ~ 1.25s for the far trace, as shown in Figure 6. Comparing the middle and far traces, we can see that the amplitude and shape of the internal multiple predicted by the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with and without incorporating the radiation pattern are very similar to those for the true internal multiple in the input data. Their comparisons are plotted in Figure 7. At zero offset, there are no visible differences, as shown in Figure 7(a), while at far offset, Figure 7(b) demonstrates that the amplitude of the internal multiple prediction is further improved by accommodating the radiation pattern. Therefore, for the general source data, the modified ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm that incorporates the source wavelet and radiation pattern can enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of the amplitude prediction of the internal multiple.

CONCLUSIONS

The ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm is modified and extended by accommodating the source wavelet and radiation pattern. The extended ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm enhances the fidelity of amplitude and phase predictions of the internal multiple. It retains all the merits of the original algorithm that is fully data-driven and does not require any subsurface information. In synthetic data tests, for point-source data, the predictions of the amplitudes and shapes of internal multiples are significantly improved by incorporating the source wavelet. For data generated by a general source with a radiation pattern, the prediction is further improved by incorporating the source radiation pattern into the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm. This contribution plays a part in the plan to deliver a higher level of predictive capability, where primaries and internal multiples are proximal or overlapping and cannot rely on adaptive subtraction to fix errors in the prediction.
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Figure 6: (a), (b), (c) The middle traces, and (d), (e), (f) the far traces.

![Comparison between true and predicted internal multiples](image)

Figure 7: The comparison between the true internal multiple (red) in the input data and the internal multiple predicted by the ISS internal multiple attenuation algorithm with (green dash) and without (blue) incorporating the source wavelet and radiation pattern at (a) zero offset and at (b) far offset (1700m).
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